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1 Academic Appeals

1.1 A student who wishes to exercise their right to appeal, on the grounds of procedural or other irregularity, must do so by completing an Academic Appeal form which must be submitted to the Department of Academic Quality and Development within two calendar weeks of publication of the decision against which the appeal is being made. Appeals will not normally be considered if they are submitted outwith the two calendar weeks’ period unless the student can provide good reasons why the delay has occurred. No appeal will be considered if it is received later than six months from the date of the original decision. The appeal form should include full details of the grounds on which the appeal is based and be accompanied by any supporting documentation.

1.2 All appeal forms shall be given preliminary consideration by the Department of Academic Quality and Development, who shall be empowered to act on behalf of the Academic Appeals Committee in dismissing appeals that are not able to be considered under the University’s regulations for academic appeals, do not have grounds for appeal or do not have sufficient evidence to support an appeal. In all such cases the Department of Academic Quality and Development shall inform the student accordingly and there shall be no further right of appeal. The student may, within seven days of notification of the decision, request a meeting with the Department of Academic Quality and Development to clarify the reasons for the rejection of the appeal at this stage.

1.3 On receipt of an appeal form, the Department of Academic Quality and Development will notify, when appropriate, the relevant Assessment Board Chair who shall prepare a written statement providing, in detail, the proposed outcome of the appeal, including any proposed change to the original Assessment Board decision. This statement will normally be lodged with the Department of Academic Quality and Development within five working days of notification.

1.4 Disagreement with the academic judgment of an Assessment Board, (e.g. with regard to assessment marks, progression decisions or final level of award) cannot, in itself, constitute grounds for an appeal. Also, an appeal cannot be considered that is based on information which has already been given full and proper consideration by an Assessment Board or that is based on information which, for no good reason, was not made available to the Assessment Board at the time it took the decision in question.

1.5 Where the Department of Academic Quality and Development is satisfied that there appears to be sufficient grounds for appeal, they will investigate the case and seek a resolution in consultation with the relevant academic staff. Where a resolution is achieved, agreed by the Department of Academic Quality and Development and the Chair of the Assessment Board, the Department of Academic Quality and Development shall inform the student accordingly and there will be no further right of appeal. Where an agreed resolution is not possible, the appropriate Director (or nominee) will consult with the Chair of the Academic Appeals Committee and, where necessary, convene a meeting of the Academic Appeals Committee within twenty-eight days of receipt of the student’s appeal and shall provide the student with seven days' notice of the date, time and place of the meeting.

1.6 Where a meeting of the Academic Appeals Committee is deemed to be necessary, appellants shall have the right to appear personally before the Academic Appeals Committee and to be accompanied by a person of their choice.

1.7 The Academic Appeals Committee may, if it so wishes, consult with the Chair or some other appointed representative of an Assessment Board against whose decision an appeal is being considered, and it may call for any information it requires, including details of student attendance and academic performance, in order to make an informed decision.

1.8 Should the Academic Appeals Committee decide that a case for reconsideration has not been proven, the appeal shall be dismissed. The Department Academic Quality and Development shall inform the appellant in writing of the reasons for the dismissal and there shall be no further right of appeal.

---

1 Where an appeal is upheld and the original decision of an Assessment Board is changed, an Assessment Board Chair’s Action must be taken immediately.
1.9 In those cases where the Academic Appeals Committee requires an Assessment Board to reconsider its decision, the appropriate Director (or nominee) will inform the Assessment Board Chair in writing accordingly and the Assessment Board shall reconvene forthwith to reconsider its decision.

1.10 In all cases referred to an Assessment Board for reconsideration, the External Examiner(s) shall be informed of the appeal by the Chair of the Assessment Board and be given full information on the background to the appeal.

1.11 If the Assessment Board rejects an appeal and if, in the opinion of the Academic Appeals Committee, due and proper account has still not been taken of the relevant factors, then the Academic Appeals Committee can recommend to Senate that the decision be annulled. The Academic Appeals Committee may recommend to Senate that the decision be annulled if it is not possible to reconvene the Assessment Board to hear the appeal.

1.12 The appellant and other students affected, if appropriate, shall be informed in writing by the Department of Academic Quality and Development after the ruling of a decision of annulment and shall be informed of the follow-up action being taken by Senate.

1.13 If the External Examiner(s) cannot attend the meeting of the Assessment Board at which the matter is to be discussed, the views of the External Examiner(s) shall be sought prior to the meeting, preferably in writing.

1.14 All factors relevant to the appeal and the Assessment Board's decision shall be minuted.

1.15 The Assessment Board Chair shall, as soon as possible after the meeting of the Assessment Board, inform the Department of Academic Quality and Development in writing of the Board's decision.

1.16 The Academic Appeals Committee may decide that the case in support of an appeal is not sufficiently strong or that the material submitted has already been properly considered by the Assessment Board concerned. In either of such circumstances the appeal shall be rejected and there is no further right of appeal. In the following circumstances the Academic Appeals Committee may require an Assessment Board to reconsider its decision:

1.16.1 If the Academic Appeals Committee is satisfied by evidence from a student or any other person that there has been a material administrative error, or that the assessments were not conducted in accordance with the current regulations for the programme, or that some other material irregularity has occurred.

1.17 The Academic Appeals Committee may, if it considers that the Assessment Board has not taken due and proper account of the relevant factors as specified in 1.16.1 above, remit the matter for consideration by Senate and may advise Senate to annul the decision of the Assessment Board.

1.18 In cases where it is not possible to reconvene an Assessment Board, the Academic Appeals Committee may recommend to Senate that the decision of the Assessment Board be annulled without making a prior request for reconsideration. If an error or irregularity is found to have affected more than one candidate, Senate may be advised to annul the whole assessment or any part thereof.

2 Academic Appeals Committee Terms of Reference

2.1 The Academic Appeals Committee is directly responsible to Senate and has the following terms of reference:

To consider appeals from registered students against University Assessment Boards’ decisions which result in their studies being terminated or their normal progression being delayed or which relate to the classification of their awards
3 Composition of the Academic Appeals Committee

3.1 The Academic Appeals Committee consists of a Chair who shall be nominated by the Chair of Senate, four members of full-time academic staff and a full-time officer of the Students' Association. Appellants have the right to object to the involvement of a representative of the Students' Association and, in such circumstances, the representative of the Students' Association would not attend or take any other part in the proceedings.

3.2 The four members of full-time academic staff are drawn from a pool of full-time academic staff appointed by the School Boards for a period of three years. No member may be involved in considering an appeal against a decision of an Assessment Board of which he or she is a member.

3.3 The Chair and two other members will constitute a quorum and meetings will be clerked by a representative of the Department of Academic Quality and Development.

4 External Independent Review

4.1 Students who are dissatisfied with the way their appeal has been handled have the right to complain to the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO). The SPSO will consider the case and make a decision on whether to investigate. It is important to note that the SPSO can only investigate whether an appeal had been handled appropriately by the University; it does not look again at the substance of the original appeal case. The SPSO can only consider cases when consideration is complete at University level. Referral to the SPSO should take place within twelve months of receipt of the final response.

4.2 SPSO contact details are:- Freephone 0800 377 7330 or call 0131 225 5300, in person Scottish Public Services Ombudsman, 4 Melville Street, Edinburgh, EH3 7NS, or by Freepost SPSO (this is all you need to write on the envelope and you don't need to use a stamp), Fax 0800 377 7331.
Appeal form received & acknowledged by Academic Quality and Development. Appeals must be received within 2 calendar weeks of publication of decision being appealed.

Is the appeal within scope of the academic appeals regulations and does it contain valid grounds for appeal?

Appeal is dismissed. Academic Quality and Development informs student. There is no further right of appeal.

Academic Quality and Development gives preliminary consideration to appeal and notifies, when appropriate, the relevant Assessment Board Chair who prepares a written statement to be lodged with Academic Quality and Development, normally within five working days.

Has a satisfactory resolution been achieved and appeal upheld following initial consideration?

NO

Appeal is dismissed. Academic Quality and Development informs student. There is no further right of appeal.

YES

Academic Quality and Development consults Chair of Academic Appeals Committee and convenes a meeting of the Academic Appeals Committee within 28 days of receipt of appeal.

Is the appeal upheld?

NO

Appeal is dismissed. Academic Quality and Development informs student. There is no further right of appeal.

YES

Academic Appeals Committee requires Assessment Board to reconsider its original decision.

Assessment Board considers appeal and outcome reported to Academic Quality and Development who reports the outcome to Academic Appeals Committee.

Has the Academic Appeals Committee concluded that the Assessment Board has still not taken due and proper account of the relevant factors?

NO

Decision of the Assessment Board is confirmed and student informed by Academic Quality and Development. There is no further right of appeal.

YES

Appeal is referred to Senate by the Academic Appeals Committee with the recommendation that the decision of the Assessment Board be annulled.

Senate decides on annulment as appropriate and Academic Quality and Development informs student of final decision and any follow up action, if appropriate. There is no further right of appeal.
Glasgow Caledonian University

Academic Appeal Form - Help Sheet for Students

What is an appeal?

An appeal is a formal procedure by which a registered student can appeal, on the grounds of procedural or other irregularity, against a University Assessment Board decision which result in their studies being terminated or their normal progression being delayed or which relate to the classification of their awards. In order to submit your appeal you **must** complete the University’s Academic Appeal Form.

On what grounds can I appeal against an Assessment Board’s decision?

Appeals can be made on the grounds of **material administrative error, regulatory irregularity or other material irregularity**, for example that the assessments were not conducted in accordance with current regulations for the programme. You must provide documentary evidence in support of your appeal.

Academic Appeals cannot be made on the grounds of personal or medical factors. In such cases, students should submit a Retrospective Mitigating Circumstances Form.

An appeal will be rejected, and therefore not re-considered by the Assessment Board or referred to the Academic Appeals Committee, in the following circumstances:

The case in support of the appeal is not sufficiently strong

The information contained in the appeal has already been properly considered by the Assessment Board concerned

The appeal is against a requirement to re-sit an assessment at the normal re-sit diet

The appeal is based solely on a request for another opportunity to be given

The appeal is based solely on disagreement with the academic judgement of the Assessment Board

The appeal is based solely on the student not understanding or not being aware of the published assessment regulations and procedures for a module or programme.
How long do I have to submit the appeal?

You have ten working days (two calendar weeks) from the publication date of the Assessment Board’s decision. Appeals will not normally be considered if received outwith this period, unless you can provide valid reasons for the delay. No appeal received after six months from the date of the Board’s decision will be considered.

How do I appeal?

The appeal must be made on the University’s Academic Appeal Form available from:

The Base on the Ground Floor of the Saltire Centre or the Campus Life Lounge

Who considers my appeal?

All appeals are given preliminary consideration by the Department of Academic Quality and Development. If the Department of Academic Quality and Development is satisfied there are grounds for appeal, the appeal may proceed to the Academic Appeals Committee only where a satisfactory resolution cannot be reached in consultation with the relevant academic staff. The Academic Appeals Committee will normally meet within 28 days of the Department of Academic Quality and Development receiving your appeal.

How long do I have to wait to hear the result of my appeal?

The Department of Academic Quality and Development will notify you in writing as soon as possible once a decision has been made.

What are the possible outcomes of my appeal?

The appeal may be upheld. An example outcome may be to treat an attempt as void or to allow you to proceed with your studies.

The appeal may not be upheld. In such decisions there is no further right of appeal. You have the right to complain to the Scottish Public Services Ombudsman (SPSO), if you are dissatisfied with the handling of your appeal. Please see Section 4 of the Academic Appeals Policy and Procedures for further details.

Where do I send the completed Academic Appeal Form?

You can submit your appeal form and supporting evidence in the following ways:

By hand, to the Academic Appeals Drop Boxes, located at the Base and in the Campus Life Lounge.

By post, to Academic Appeals, Department of Academic Quality and Development, Glasgow Caledonian University, Cowcaddens Road, G4 0BA.
ACADEMIC APPEAL FORM

Before completing this form please take time to read the attached information on Academic Appeals

PERSONAL DETAILS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Student ID. No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Address for Correspondence: E-mail address:

Telephone Number:

School:

Programme:

ASSESSMENT PERIOD

Please indicate the assessment period which your appeal relates to (please tick):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>May/June</th>
<th>August/September</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>January/February</th>
<th>Other (please indicate month)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GROUND FOR APPEAL

Please detail the grounds on which you are appealing (continue on a separate sheet if required). Grounds include material administrative error, regulatory irregularity or other material irregularity. Academic Appeals cannot be made on the grounds of personal or medical factors. In such cases, students should submit a Retrospective Mitigating Circumstances Form.
Please provide details of the written evidence you are submitting in support of your appeal (continue on additional sheet if required). Please note that failure to supply valid supporting evidence, as required by the Academic Appeals Regulations, is likely to result in the appeal being rejected.

Please detail modules and/or assessments and the Trimester the appeal relates to.

Please indicate your preferred outcome of this appeal
The information given in this form must be accurate and must have, or must be believed to have, had a direct and adverse effect on your academic performance. You MUST sign and date this declaration:

The information I have given on this form is, to the best of my knowledge, true and has had a direct adverse effect on the assessment(s) named.

I am aware of the timescales for the submission of Academic Appeals.

I give my permission for the information contained within the appeal and supporting documentation to be considered by appropriate members of University Staff.

If you provide information or certificates which are subsequently found to be falsified or misleading in any way, you may be liable to action being taken against you under the Code of Student Discipline.

Signature:  
Date:  

Please submit completed appeal forms:

By hand, to the Academic Appeals Drop Boxes, located at the Base and in the Campus Life Lounge.

By post, to Academic Appeals, Department of Academic Quality and Development, Glasgow Caledonian University, Cowcaddens Road, G4 0BA.