

# Student Performance Feedback Policy

Prepared By	GCU Lead
. ,	
Approved By	Academic Policy Committee 1 October 2014
	Senate 10 October 2014
Source Location	GCU Intranet > Registry > Assessment & Exams > Ass
	Reg Assoc Docs > Policies > Student Performance
	Feedback Policy
Published	https://www.gcu.ac.uk/academicqualityanddevelopment/a
Location	cademicquality/regulationsandpolicies/universityassessm
	entregulationsandpolicies/
Other decuments	
Other documents	
referenced	
Related	
documents	

Version Number	Date issued	Author	Update information
V1.0	06.01.2014	GCU Lead	First published version
V2.0	31.05.2019	Academic Quality and Development	Updates made to entirety of policy.
V2.1	01.09.2023	Quality Assurance and Enhancement	Inclusion of Senate approved Percentage Marking Framework.

# GCU Policy on Student Performance Feedback

#### 1 Policy Statement

1.1 Glasgow Caledonian University (GCU) is committed to providing the best possible student learning experience. This includes providing high quality academic feedback that is timely, fit for purpose and designed to enhance the future learning of students.

The University has approved a Percentage Marking Framework, where marks are awarded based on student(s) demonstrating ability, skills and/or behaviours across some of all of the five SCQF characteristics. The Framework is included at Appendix 1.

#### 2 Feedback

- 2.1 Feedback plays a crucial role in the development of students as independent learners and should provide students with an indicator of progress, identifying:
  - noted strengths and weaknesses;
  - the rationale for marks awarded;
  - alternative ideas/solutions for consideration;
  - suggestions for future improvement.
- 2.2 Feedback can be provided formally and informally, individually or generically, and constitutes an integral part of the teaching and learning experience. Whilst not exhaustive, feedback can be provided in a number of different forms, including electronic, written, verbal, in class and personal feedback from academic staff and, where appropriate, peer feedback.
- 2.3 Details of the student feedback strategy must be provided and reflected in programme and module handbooks.
- 2.4 Students have a responsibility to proactively engage with feedback provided to them. Feedback is an integral part of the learning process and provides a valuable point of reflection for students to underpin future learning. Students should engage and reflect with feedback, which provides a constructive and supportive platform for future learning and the opportunity to self-assess their skills and capabilities.

# 3 GCU Feedback Principles

3.1 All Schools, and other academic units, should implement the 8 GCU Feedback
Principles within all coursework assessments, and across all modules. The Feedback
Principles are student facing and state that feedback at GCU should be:

### • A dialogue

Feedback should be based on discussion, face to face or online, between you, your fellow students and staff. This dialogue is an important part of your learning and also helps academic staff to shape their teaching.

#### Supportive of future learning

Feedback should review student performance, strengths and areas for improvement; should clarify what is expected of students academically and help to identify areas for further learning and development.

# Timely

Feedback on coursework should normally be provided to you within three working weeks of coursework submission deadlines. Exam and module results will normally be released according to the schedule at: http://www.gcu.ac.uk/student/exams/results/

Students should note, however, that there may be instances where due to exceptional circumstances feedback is subject to a longer turnaround time. Where this is the case module leaders will ensure that the revised date for feedback is communicated to students as early as possible.

Final level Project/Dissertation feedback will not be released until after the Assessment Board, given the short turnaround time between submission deadlines and meetings of Assessment Boards.

#### • Related to clear criteria

Feedback should be based on clear assessment criteria, which are made available to you in advance of undertaking your assignment or examination.

#### Accessible to all students

Feedback can be provided in a variety of different forms: written, for example by comments made on your assignment itself or on a feedback sheet; electronic, for example by email or through GCULearn, verbal, for example in lectures, seminars or one-to-one and small group meetings with your tutor. Feedback can also be provided by your fellow students and through self-reflection. Written feedback should use plain English and be clear and legible. It should also be responsive to any particular needs you have in terms of its accessibility.

- A continuous process
   Feedback will be provided throughout your module and, where possible, build on feedback provided on earlier performance.
- Available on all forms of assessment
   Feedback should be provided on all your assignments, whether formative or
   summative, examinations, final level projects/dissertations and group as well as
   individual contributions to a module. For written exams, generic feedback will be
   provided, with the option of individual feedback and an opportunity to review the
   marked exam script with module leaders.
- Flexible and suited to students' needs
   The variety of different forms of feedback should ensure that you have easy access to your feedback whether you are full-time, part-time, distance or work-based.

#### 4 Review of feedback mechanisms

4.1 Academic Departments will implement processes to monitor the provision of feedback. This includes; ensuring adherence to expected timescales, the method and quality of feedback provided and subsequent student perceptions of the effectiveness of the Department's feedback practices.

## **GCU Percentage Marking Framework**

The University has an established Percentage Marking Framework. Percentage marks are awarded based on the student(s) demonstrating the ability, skills and/or behaviours across some or all of the five SCQF characteristics, as specified in the module descriptor and defined in learning outcomes. Broadly, the SCQF characteristics can be summarised as:

- <u>Subject-specific</u> knowledge and understanding
- <u>Subject-specific</u> application of knowledge, skills and understanding
- Generic cognitive skills in evaluation, analysis, synthesis and integration of complex information
- Communication, ICT and numeracy skills
- Autonomy, accountability and working with others

The SCQF characteristics for module assessments need to reflect the appropriate SCQF level and, where applicable, need to be contextualised to reflect the subject, module and assessment description.

The Framework covers Undergraduate and Taught Postgraduate study, as follows:

# **Percentage Marking Framework for Undergraduate Study**

Honours Classification Guide (for undergraduate students)	Evaluation	Percentage Range	Description
1 <sup>st</sup> Class	Exceptional	90 - 100	Demonstrates <i>exceptional and consistently excellent</i> ability, skills and behaviours across specified characteristic(s) with <i>no weaknesses</i> .
		80 - 89	Demonstrates <i>exceptional</i> or <i>consistently excellent</i> ability, skills and behaviours across specified characteristic(s), with <i>few weaknesses</i> .
	Excellent	70 – 79	Demonstrates <i>mostly excellent</i> ability, skills and behaviours across specified characteristics.
2i Class	Very Good	60 - 69	Demonstrates <i>overall very good</i> ability, skills and behaviours across specified characteristics.
2ii Class	Good	50 – 59	Demonstrates <i>overall good</i> ability, skills and behaviours across specified characteristics
3 <sup>rd</sup> Class	Satisfactory	40 - 49	Demonstrates <i>overall satisfactory</i> ability, skills and behaviours across specified characteristics

Not applicable	Marginal Fail	30 -39	Demonstrates <i>overall poor</i> ability, skills and behaviours across specified characteristics with <i>some satisfactory</i> elements
	Clear Fail	< 30	Demonstrates <i>overall poor</i> ability, skills and behaviours across specified characteristics with <i>no satisfactory</i> elements
	Non- Submission	0	Non submission

# Percentage Marking Framework for Taught Postgraduate Study.

Award	Evaluation	Percentage Range	Description
With Distinction		90 - 100	Demonstrates <i>exceptional and consistently excellent</i> ability, skills and behaviours across specified characteristic(s) with <i>no weaknesses</i> .
	Exceptional	80 - 89	Demonstrates <i>exceptional</i> or <i>consistently excellent</i> ability, skills and behaviours across specified characteristic(s) with <i>few weaknesses</i> .
	Excellent	70 – 79	Demonstrates <i>mostly excellent</i> ability, skills and behaviours across specified characteristics.
With Merit	Very Good	65 - 69	Demonstrates <i>overall very good</i> ability, skills and behaviours across specified characteristics.
Pass	Good	60 - 64	Demonstrates <i>overall good</i> ability, skills and behaviours across specified characteristics
	Satisfactory	50 – 59	Demonstrates <i>overall satisfactory</i> ability, skills and behaviours across specified characteristics
Not Applicable	Marginal Fail	40 - 49	Demonstrates <i>overall poor</i> ability, skills and behaviours across specified characteristics with <i>some satisfactory</i> elements
	Clear Fail	< 39	Demonstrates <i>overall poor</i> ability, skills and behaviours across specified characteristics with <i>no satisfactory</i> elements
	Non Submission	-	Non Submission